IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 8196 of 1995

Between:

M/s. S.P. Office Needs Pvt. Ltd., Plot No.1B
S.No.308, Venkateshwara Coop. Indl. Estate, Jeedimetla, Hyderabad, rep.by its Director R.Sreenivasa Reddy.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Union of India, Ministry of Finance,
Department of Economic Affairs, Central Secretariat, New Delhi
rep.by its Joint Secretary to Govt. of India.

(Writ Petition against R1 is dismissed for default vide Court Order

dated 21.06.2001)

2 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Chief Secretary to Govt.
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 State Bank of India,
rep.by its Branch Manager, Main Branch,
Koti, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction, more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the recovery of debts due to Banks and Financial Institutions, 1993 (Act 51 of 1993) and the consequential notification Dt.30/11/1994, issued by the first respondent as wholly arbitrary, highly illegal and unconstitutional, being violative of Arts.14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of Art.246(3) of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents, not to transfer any

matter in pursuance of the notification Dt.30/11/1994 issued by the 1st respondent to the newly constituted tribunal.

For the Petitioner: MR.K.SIVA REDDY, Advocate.

For the Respondent No.2:G.P. for General Administration.

For the Respondent No.3: MR.P.BADRI PREMNATH, Standing Counsel for S.B.I.

The Court made the following :

-

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.8196 of 1995

ORDER:

The learned standing counsel for the third respondent submits that the writ petition has become infructuous, as the Apex Court in *Union of India v. Delhi Bar Association* has already settled the issue in this writ petition.

Recording the above statement, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Dated: 6th August, 2004.

CS/SVV

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Joint Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Finance,

Department of Economic Affairs, Central Secretariat, New Delhi.

2. The Chief Secretary to Government, State of Andhra Pradesh,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Main Branch, Koti,

Hyderabad.

4. Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for General Administration,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5. Two C.D. copies.